#### **SPEAKER ABSTRACTS**

### 25-29 June, 2012 Ramada Plaza and Suites Hotel-fargo, ND, USA

#### SESSION I: GENOME SEQUENCING AND UTILIZATION

(Speakers denoted in **bold** lettering).

Sequences of 14,600 'gene-bearing' MTP BACs of Morex barley.

Timothy J Close. Department of Botany & Plant Sciences, University of California, Riverside, California, USA.

In a USDA-AFRI NIFA project entitled "Advancing the Barley Genome", we applied combinatorial pooling and Illumina sequencing to ~14,600 BACs that constitute a minimal tiling path (MTP) from 83,831 gene-bearing BACs of Morex barley. The BAC library was developed by Yu et al. (2000. Theor Appl Genet 101:1093-99) and these BACs account for roughly 70% of all expressed genes but only about 30% of the entire genome. Identification of gene-bearing BACs, fingerprinting, BAC contig assembly, MTP definition and assignment of an initial subset of about 2,000 MTP BACs to the genetic map were the results of prior projects supported by NSF and USDA, and from contributions of many individuals. These individuals, and others involved in the BAC sequencing, will be cited during the presentation. Most of these ~14,600 newly generated BAC sequences have been anchored to the genetic map. Anchoring methods include Illumina GoldenGate assays to relate mapped SNP loci to BACs, BLAST of BAC sequences to flow-sorted chromosome 1H and the short and long arms of 2H-6H, and BLAST of BAC sequences to other grass genomes to enhance physical map resolution based on synteny. A consequence of the combinatorial sequencing method is that each BAC assembly is composed of about 100 unordered contigs with a N50 in the range of 6 kb; the result is not end-to-end finished BAC sequences. In addition, the BAC assemblies in general do not include highly repetitive sequences. The available BAC sequences are searchable by BLAST via www.harvest-blast.org and can be retrieved from www.harvest-web.org as a single concatenated FASTA file for each BAC, with headers providing additional information. HarvEST:barley (http://harvest.ucr.edu) provides a Windows interface to facilitate retrieval of BAC sequences starting either with genetic map position or names of MTP BACs or other BACs contained in the same contigs from which gene-bearing MTP clones were selected for sequencing. Some example queries will be shown. Improvements of user interfaces and integration of these new BAC sequences with other International Barley Sequencing Consortium (IBSC) resources are in progress, with updates posted on the IBSC website (www.barley-genome.org).

# Same, same but different: complementary analytical approaches highlight the different shades of polyploidy in rye and wheat.

Rachel Brenchley <sup>1</sup>, Manuel Spannagl <sup>2</sup>, Matthias Pfeifer <sup>2</sup>, Gary L.A. Barker <sup>3</sup>, Rosalinda D'Amore <sup>1</sup>, Alexandra M. Allen <sup>3</sup>, Neil McKenzie <sup>4</sup>, Melissa Kramer <sup>5</sup>, Dan Bolser <sup>6</sup>, Suzanne Kay <sup>1</sup>, Darren Waite <sup>4</sup>, Yong Gu <sup>7</sup>, Naxin Huo <sup>7</sup>, Ming-Cheng Luo <sup>8</sup>, Sunish Sehgal <sup>9</sup>, Sharyar Kianian <sup>10</sup>, Martin Trick <sup>4</sup>, Ian Bancroft <sup>4</sup>, Bikram S. Gill <sup>9</sup>, Olin Anderson <sup>7</sup>, Jan Dvorak <sup>8</sup>, Paul Kersey <sup>6</sup>, Richard McCombie <sup>5</sup>, Anthony Hall <sup>1</sup>, **Klaus F.X. Mayer** <sup>2</sup>, Keith J. Edwards <sup>3</sup>, Michael W. Bevan <sup>4</sup>, Neil Hall <sup>1</sup>, Mihaela Martis <sup>2</sup>, Hana Simkova <sup>11</sup>, Jan Vrana <sup>11</sup>, Jaroslav Dolezel <sup>11</sup>, Susanne König <sup>12</sup>, Ruonan Zhou <sup>12</sup>, Thomas Schmutzer <sup>12</sup>, Uwe Scholz <sup>12</sup>, Viktor Korzun <sup>13</sup>, Nils Stein <sup>12</sup>, Chris-Carolin Schön <sup>14</sup>, Eva Bauer <sup>14</sup>, and Grit Haseneyer <sup>14</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Centre for Genome Research, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK; <sup>2</sup> MIPS/IBIS, Helmholtz- Zentrum München, Neuherberg, DE; <sup>3</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK; <sup>4</sup> John Innes Centre, Norwich, UK; <sup>5</sup> Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, USA; <sup>6</sup> European Bioinformatics Institute, Hinxton, UK; <sup>7</sup> USDA Western Regional Laboratory, Albany, CA, USA; <sup>8</sup> Department of Agronomy and Range Science, University of California, Davis, CA, USA; <sup>9</sup> Department of Plant Pathology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA; <sup>10</sup> Department of Plant Sciences, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND, USA; <sup>11</sup> Centre of the Region Haná for Biotechnological and Agricultural Research, Institute of Experimental Botany, Olomouc, Czech Republic; <sup>12</sup> Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), Gatersleben, Germany; <sup>13</sup> KWS LOCHOW GmBH, Einbeck, Germany; and <sup>14</sup> Plant Breeding, Technische Universität München, Freising, Germany.

The large 8-Gb diploid genome of diploid rye and the 17-Gb genome of allohexaploid bread wheat are major challenges for genome analysis due to their size and complexity. While the wheat genome is composed of three closely related and independently maintained homoeologous genomes, the rye genome is diploid and has undergone a series of rearrangements in comparison to the wheat and the barley genome. We followed different but complementary strategies to work towards highly enriched molecular knowledgebases for both species.

For wheat we used a novel comparative genomics strategy combined with a whole-genome shotgun approach to identify 90 k of wheat genes and assigned a significant proportion to the component A, B, and D genomes. Our analysis reveals a highly dynamic genome, with rapid and extensive loss of gene family members upon polyploidization and an abundance of gene fragments.

For rye, a strategy that involves genome fractionation, development of a dense marker map and syntenic integration (aka GenomeZipper) was employed. The dense marker scaffold allowed to delineate syntenic segments and chromosomal breaks with high resolution and allowed to position 22 k rye genes on the genome. The integration allows to analyse and compare the rye genome with unprecedented depth and allows to generate fundamental insights into the evolution of the rye genome and the genomic consequences of an outbreeding lifestyle.

## Grass microRNA gene paleohistory unveils new insights into gene dosage balance in subgenome partitioning after whole genome duplication.

Michael Abrouk <sup>1</sup>, Rongzhi Zhang <sup>2</sup>, Florent Murat <sup>1</sup>, Aili Li <sup>2</sup>, Caroline Pont <sup>1</sup>, Long Mao <sup>2</sup>, and Jérôme Salse <sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> INRA/UBP UMR 1095 Génétique, Diversité et Ecophysiologie des Céréales (GDEC), Laboratory Paléogénomique des Plantes pour l'Amélioration Variétale (PPAV), 234 avenue du Brézet, 63100 Clermont Ferrand, France, and <sup>2</sup> Institute of Crop Science, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, 12 Zhongguancun South Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100081, China.

The recent availability of plant genome sequences, as well as high resolution gene-based genetic maps, have recently offered the opportunity to compare modern genomes and model their evolutionary history from reconstructed founder ancestors on a very precise scale. *In silico* paleogenomic analyses have revealed the evolutionary forces that have shaped present-day plant genomes. They showed that polyploidisation followed by genomic rearrangements such as nested chromosomes fusions (NCFs) that lead to chromosome number reduction, and the return at a diploid state have been driving forces of plant genome evolution.

Comparative genomic analyses combined with a robust scenario of the evolution of modern monocot and eudicot karyotypes from their diploid ancestors, offer an opportunity to gain insights into the evolution of specific sequences. In this work, we studied more particularly the paleohistory of microRNA (miRNA) in plants. The characterization and comparison of miRNAs sequences and associated protein-coding targets in plants allowed us to unravel (i) contrasted genome conservation patterns of miRNAs in monocots and eudicots after whole genome duplication (WGD), (ii) an ancestral miRNA founder pool in the monocot genomes dating back to 100 million years ago, (iii) miRNA subgenome dominance during post-WGD diploidization process with selective miRNA deletion complemented with possible transposable element (TE)-mediated return flows, and (iv) the miRNA/target interaction-directed differential loss/retention of miRNAs following the gene dosage balance rule. Finally, our data suggest that in grass genomes under-retained miRNAs are mainly involved in the basic regulation of plant development while over-retained miRNAs are likely involved in the regulation of genes associated with stress responses and plant adaptation.